## St James Faculty Application: Church Extension and Seating Project How the church is used currently - How the church, in part, needs to be used in the future [29/3/23]

The table below details our exploration of the space required at St James for the building to be used to participate more fully in God's mission. In particular, it evidences the need for pew removal in the nave of the church to fulfil the purpose of the community. To glorify God and serve the common good.

| Activity | Details | Space <br> Required | Pew <br> Removal <br> Scale $^{\mathbf{1}}$ | Activity <br> Status $^{2}$ | Activity <br> Viability $^{3}$ | Activity <br> classification |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Parish Eucharist | A traditional <br> Eucharist celebration | c. 75 | N | T | Applicable <br> Marks of $^{\text {Mission }^{5}}$ |  |
| Service of the <br> Word | Service of the word <br> aimed at all ages <br> which requires, e.g., <br> ability to move <br> around the church in <br> groups and <br> adaptable seating <br> configurations. | c. |  | F | T | 1, 2 |

[^0]|  | columns. Journey <br> starts @ door, and <br> runs down S aisle, <br> across to N aisle, <br> back up, and then <br> into main body of <br> nave where cross <br> will be standing. <br> Near more space to <br> adore Cross at front. | able move <br> freely around <br> nave as <br> detailed. |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


|  | hosting of prior <br> flower festivals, and <br> eventually led to <br> them being stopped <br> since the number of <br> stands/stalls and <br> people could not be <br> accommodated in <br> the central region. <br> We would like to <br> host the present <br> village show. |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Hospitality/ <br> Worship Events: <br> Parish Breakfast, <br> Churchyard <br> Working Party, <br> Churches <br> Together <br> Prayers, 9 <br> Lessons and <br> Carols, Carols <br> Around Tree | We have been unable to host our 9 lessons and carols hospitality effectively in the church in its current configuration; we could likely do this if the 2 aisles were cleared. We would like to offer hospitality after our Carols around the tree service which attracts hundreds of people. To do this we would need to clear the side aisles, and likely some space in the central nave. | We need to host c. 12-18 people around tables for many of these events. For the Christmas events the numbers may be c. 100 who stay for hospitality. | P; could likely do in 1 or 2 aisles. | T | W | 2, 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cafe/Messy/ Youth Worship | A type of fresh expression where families, e.g., grab a simple breakfast, gather around tables, and engage in a form of worship. Would need to see main AV screen. A similar format would be useful for youth | c. 8 tables in the middle of the church for c. 30 people Messy. Larger numbers of people and tables for Cafe, < 100 | F | U | W | 1, 2 |


|  | gatherings, and for <br> hosting messy <br> church events. |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Senior/Youth <br> Ministry | Host gatherings for <br> this demographic to <br> watch a film, playing <br> of games, engage in <br> physical exercise <br> class (a couple of <br> hours a day); access <br> to main screen <br> required. | F | C |  |  | | c. W |
| :--- |


| services | We have a <br> requirement to <br> increase this, since <br> we have people <br> from Birch Heath <br> Lodge who would <br> like to attend. Often, <br> 3 have to line up at <br> the front of the <br> church. |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


|  | (Nativity, Harvest), <br> and Christmas (Crib) <br> services. We have <br> found our attempts <br> to do this limited by <br> lack of space |  | pews. |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Hosting large <br> meetings, e.g., <br> PCC, APCM, <br> Parish Council | Currently not <br> possible in Lady <br> Chapel. Need prime <br> access to screen | c. 20-40 <br> people, with <br> tables. | F/P; maybe <br> could get <br> away with <br> c. front 8 <br> rows of <br> pews <br> removed? | T |  |  |


|  | around. Clearing, some pews from the rear centre is also desirable to allow the standard bearers (on Remembrance Sunday) to form inside the church, near the font, and still allow good access; currently it is very cramped at the back, and this causes a significant delay to the start of the service. The warden and verger pews are obstructive for these services. | Remembrance <br> , with space <br> for c .6 <br> standard <br> bearers. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Community/ Dementia Cafe | Provide a cafe for the community which can also provide targetted welcome | c. 20-30 people | P; could work with north aisle pews removed | U | C | 3 |
| Community <br> Cinema | Host film evenings for the community and church | c. 25-75 people | P; require central space | U | c/w | 3 |
| Youth space | Provide a safe space for young people to gather and co-create life together | c. 12-25 people | P; require central space | T | w | 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 |


| Computer <br> Classes | Provide computer <br> and related classes <br> for the community | c. 20 people | P; require <br> central <br> space | T | C/W | 3, 1, 2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Summary:

We can't meet our needs without providing flexible space in the nave for the variety of activities currently undertaken and proposed. An analysis of these needs allows us to make the following comments.

- Two thirds of the 21 activities or needs which embody our strategic priorities relate solely to worship. Of the remaining third, $60 \%$ relates to worship \& community purposes and $40 \%$ to community purposes only. In essence over $85 \%$ are connected to delivering worship. The church would contend this dichotomy presented may be unhelpful1.
- Of the 21 activities, around $70 \%$ are or have been undertaken, and $30 \%$ are yet to be implemented. Over $40 \%$ of these activities are currently considered completely non-viable on account of the constraints imposed by the pews, whilst a further $50 \%$ are of restricted viability for the same reason.
- In essence $95 \%$ of the needs require a degree of pew removal.
- The removal of all the pews in the nave (aside from the churchwarden/verger pews on the west wall) permits $100 \%$ of the needs both current and anticipated in the future.
- We have explored partial removal of the pews in both the central nave and also in the side aisles. The partial removal in the central nave enabled about $20 \%$ of the needs to be met with a similar outcome if pews were partially removed in both side aisles. Hence, in both cases the vast majority of the needs of the church, around $80 \%$, remain unmet by these options.
- We also looked at removing all the pews in the central area and this would enable around $70 \%$ of the activities, although the reduction in flexible space may limit the size of the congregation that can be accommodated in these new forms of worship.
- This option risks creating two dead spaces in the north and south aisles, with secondary visibility and comfort. It may also lead to a further reduction in the potential size of actual congregations as people may only want to sit in the middle which would effectively shrink the size of the church further.
- Furthermore, we see removal of the pews in the North aisle as critical in creating a café space for near continuous hospitality, which would provide a hub for people to meet in a safe environment and thereby combat social issues of loneliness and isolation. It would also provide an opportunity for family friendly seating during worship \& social events.
- To leave pews only in the South aisle is probably the least impactful as it delivers close to $90 \%$ of our mission , however, it would arguably render this area defunct and impact congregation sizes. Hence, why the proposal advocates to have flexible space in the whole of the nave across the central, north and south aisles .
- We considered whether placing the current pews on castors would meet the needs of the church outlined above. This idea was rejected, since it would not provide the space required for the uses of the church both current and projected. At the same time, they would be more difficult to move around, remain uncomfortable, potentially damage the flooring, potentially introduce distracting noise while in use, and require maintenance.


[^0]:    1 F=Full, $\mathrm{P}=$ Partial, $\mathrm{N}=$ none
    2 T= Tried, U=Untested
    3 Red=Not viable, Amber=Partial viability, Green = viable
    4 W=Worship, C=Community; noting we believe this is a false dichotomy
    5 The marks of mission are as follows: 1. To proclaim the Good News of the Kingdom: 2. To teach, baptise and nurture new believers: 3 . To respond to human need by loving service: 4. To transform unjust structures of society, to challenge violence of every kind and pursue peace and reconciliation: 5 . To strive to safeguard the integrity of creation, and sustain and renew the life of the earth

