

Luke Tatam

From: Richard Gurney <richardgurney69@gmail.com>
Sent: 17 June 2021 15:15
To: Luke Tatam
Cc: Christopher Davis
Subject: Re: Tooting Graveney, St Nicholas (ref 2021-058767) - toilets works

Dear Luke,

Sorry for the slow reply.

In response to your comments:

- 1 We are happy to include an emergency alarm pull in the designated cubicle and will add to the specification.
- 2 We consider that any gain from narrowing the door would be minimal and not justify the work involved so are inclined to leave this as it is, acknowledging that it is not ideal but has functioned for thirty years.
- 3 Our architect is in touch with Wandsworth planning control to discuss this - the proposed arrangement does represent an improvement on the current situation, even if not fully compliant.
- 4 WC 3 already has artificial ventilation. WC1 doesn't but we will add this to the specification.

Many thanks,

Richard

On 26/05/2021 14:31, Luke Tatam wrote:

Dear Richard (cc'd to CJ),

I have heard back from three of the four members of the DAC subcommittee who are scrutinising your proposals.

To help move things along, I thought I would now share with you (rather than wait for the fourth adviser) the minor queries raised by the DAC architect adviser. Aside from these queries / suggestions, he is content, as are the other two respondents.

1. WC1 is not officially designated as a fully accessible WC, but, as it is likely to be used as such, would it be sensible to install an alarm pull inside in case of emergencies?
2. Although WC2 is a refurbishment of the existing space, the circulation area is small: would users be sufficiently comfortable in using this space? If not, consideration might be given to providing a slightly narrower door leaf to improve the situation?
3. The mezzanine WC arrangement is ingenious, but have Building Control consented to the fact that there is not a 400mm distance between the door and the top step? Obtaining this assurance is recommended, before commencing works. What will be your plan / timescale for this?

4. Artificial ventilation is mentioned on the drawings for WCs 4 and 5, but it does not appear so for the others. Is adequate ventilation also allowed for in the other WCs?

When you've discussed with your architect, please could you or he respond to me on these points. Thank you.

With kind regards,
Luke

Luke Tatam, DAC Secretary
(Secretary to the Diocesan Advisory Committee for the Care of Churches)
The Diocese of Southwark

Trinity House, 4 Chapel Court, Borough High Street, London SE1 1HW.


w: southwark.anglican.org/help/dac

e: luke.tatam@southwark.anglican.org

The South London Church Fund & Southwark Diocesan Board of Finance, Trinity House, 4 Chapel Court, Borough High Street, London SE1 1HW.

A company limited by guarantee: Reg No. 236594 / Charity No. 249678

This message and any attachments is intended for the addressee(s) only and may contain privileged, confidential, or proprietary information that is exempt from disclosure under law. If you have received this message in error, please inform us promptly by reply email, then delete the email and destroy any printed copy. Thank you.

 Please consider the environment before printing this email